Application No. 10/647,729
Amendment "G" dated May 6, 2008
Reply to Office Action mailed April 30, 2008

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX GENTER MAY 0 6 2008

## REMARKS

Applicants and Applicants' attorney express appreciation to the Examiner for the time spent preparing the Office Action dated April 30, 2008. Reconsideration and allowance for the above-identified application are now respectfully requested. Claims 1-4, 6-32, 35-38, and 40-62 are pending

## Obviousness-type Double Patenting Rejection

In the Office Action, claims 1-32, 35-38, and 40-62 were rejected on the grounds of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-50 of U.S. Patent No. 7,250,022 to Dalebout et al.

Submitted herewith is a revised terminal disclaimer relating to U.S. Patent No. 7,250,022, issued July 31, 2007, as set forth in the terminal disclaimer.

Accordingly, Applicant hereby requests reconsideration and removal of the rejection to claims 1-32, 35-38, and 40-62 on the grounds of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting in view of U.S. Patent No. 7,250,022.

## CONCLUSION

It is respectfully submitted that the rejections of claims 1-4, 6-32, 35-38, and 40-62 have been overcome and should now be withdrawn. In the event that the Examiner finds any remaining impediment to a prompt allowance of this application that may be clarified through a telephone interview, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned attorney.

Dated this 6th day of May, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

/J. Dustin Howell/ Reg. #60701
J. DUSTIN HOWELL

Attorney for Applicant Registration No. 60.701 Customer No. 022913

JDH 1711078\_

Page 14 of 14